Wildlife Corridors based on the spatial modeling of the human pressure: a Portuguese case study

Lara Nunes; Ana Luisa Gomes; Alexandra Fonseca

Abstract

In times of economical crisis, rewilding can be a less costly conservation management approach, able to generate greater economic value from wild lands and to rural communities. Simultaneously, improvement of connectivity between protected areas was identified as a global priority for conservation. Allying rewilding concept and connectivity concern, a model for identification of less disturbed corridors for wildlife was designed. Less disturbed corridors can be serious candidates to became rewilding areas due to their already low human pressure for wildlife. Accordingly, it was asked to experts from different areas such as nature conservation, environmental and land planning to answer an inquiry to evaluate some variables representing human disturbance. The 51 pericial answers obtained were used to create a single spatial gradient of human disturbance to wildlife. Between three Portuguese conservation areas, the least disturbance corridors to wildlife were designed and analyzed. Location of each corridor was compared with Iberian Wolf (Canis lupus signatus) distribution, a top predator known by its sensitivity to human presence and disturbance. When spatially compared, the obtained corridors fit the wolf confirmed presence distribution. This is a promising result for the model fitness to human pressure and to optimize a future network of rewilding corridors between protected areas.

In: Watson, Alan, Carver, Stephen, Krenova, Zdenka, comps. 2014. Science and stewardship to protect and sustain wilderness values: Tenth World Wilderness Congress symposium; 2013, 4-10 October, Salamanca, Spain. Proceedings RMRS-P-000. Fort Collins, CO: U.S. Department of Agriculture, Forest Service, Rocky Mountain Research Station.

L. Nunes is a Biologist, from CVS - Corridors for Wildlife Project, A. L. Gomes and A. Fonseca are Environmental Researchers at Directorate-General for Territory Development (DGT), Rua Artilharia Um, 107, 1099-052 Lisboa, Portugal, Phone number: (+351) 21 381 96 00, Fax: (+351) 21 381 96 99, nunes.lara@gmail.com, luisa.gomes@dgterritorio.pt, afonseca@dgterritorio.pt.

INTRODUCTION

Wilderness and Rewilding

The concept of wilderness, legally defined by the US Wilderness Act (1964), is associated with the existence of areas with little or no human influence and that can be used for the promotion and maintenance of wildlife and natural processes, which benefit from minimal human intervention.

The rewilding concept, associated with the concept of wilderness, emerges as a conservation strategy that relies primarily on the natural recovery processes that make ecosystems self-sustaining, involving only protective measures relating to the human activities and, wherever necessary, the reintroduction of key species (Brown *et al.*, 2011). Rewilding can be one of the land management policies to be adopted in abandoned territories, as it promotes the natural regeneration of forests and other natural habitats favoring biodiversity increase and improving ecosystems services. It is a passive management conservation strategy with lower maintenance costs than other territorial management options presenting significant benefits, such as environmental regulation services provided by natural ecosystems, as well as greater economic value to wild lands and rural communities (REAR, 2012).

Rewilding in Europe and Portugal

Despite its many benefits, the bet on natural processes (rewilding) has been, until recently, dismissed as a European territorial management option (Navarro & Pereira, 2012). Initiatives like the adoption of the "European Parliament Resolution on Wilderness in Europe" in 2009, the establishment of the Wild Europe Initiative, the Rewilding Europe Project and PAN Parks Network are bringing the concept of rewilding to the European conservation policy discussion.

Rewilding management is starting to take its first steps in the field in Europe. In Western Iberia, helped by Rewilding Europe Project, *Transumância e Natureza Association* (ATN), a partner of the project, is managing Portuguese Faia Brava Private Reserve, one of two pilot areas in Iberian Peninsula where the rewilding process is taking shape (REAR, 2012).

In fact, the Portuguese landscapes, characterized by its long history of humanization, now evidenced in the last decades a clear trend towards depopulation and the consequent abandonment of farming activities. Thus arises the opportunity to apply the concept of Rewilding, as a return to the wild.

The Importance of Corridors for Wildlife

The expansion of urban areas and the boost in infrastructures networks has been driving to a progressive fragmentation of the Portuguese landscape in the last decades. Under these conditions, in which there have been significant losses in important habitats, the existence of a conservation network connecting areas with high natural values through wildlife corridors, certainly contributes to a more effective protection of a large number of habitats and species (Gomes *et al.*, 2011; Rudnick *et al.*, 2012).

Presently, the emerging climate change effects are pushing the species out of the protected areas, as an adaptive response to the changing environmental conditions. This migration can only be possible on a favorable environment to such species.

The static approaches that have been adopted in this field of conservation, where areas are selected and managed in order to protect the biodiversity of today (Lawler & Mathias, 2007), are becoming inadequate in the current context of a rapidly changing territory. Recent studies have shown that existing protected areas are not likely to lead to the protection of biodiversity in a continuous change future (Bengtsson *et al.*, 2003). Moreover, many species even whole communities will be forced to move in response to climate change (Parmesan, 2006). Movements of populations will be one of the major challenges for many species (Lawler & Mathias, 2007) and such movements have already been detected, especially in altitude migrations (Parmesan, 2006).

In response to these environmental changes, many plants and animals will have to move inside and outside of the protected areas until they find a suitable habitat that guaranties their persistence. Such movements will only be possible if they have the adequate conditions (Garcia & Araújo, 2010; Araújo *et al.*, 2004).

Conservation planning is currently a challenging task (Kujala *et al.*, 2013), as there is a growing consensus that conservation strategies must anticipate climate change impacts (Araújo & Rahbek, 2006; Thuiller *et al.*, 2007. In this constantly changing world it is necessary to identify solutions that minimally compromise the current needs of conservation and simultaneously create higher benefits in the future (Kujala *et al.*, 2013). Such solutions include the implementation of mechanisms for integrated management of rural areas facilitating the movement of species between areas of conservation (Araújo *et al.*, 2011). For this purpose, it is relevant to identify connectivity corridors between suitable areas (Williams *et al.*, 2005; Opdam & Wascher, 2004).

The importance of Keystone Species for Corridors

The regulatory role of large predators based on keystone species theory is central to the rewilding concept (Brown *et al.*, 2011). Keystone species are defined as having a structural role in their community although disproportionate to their abundance, usually large and wide-ranging predators. Protection of 'keystone species' may have an 'umbrella' protection effect upon other species, since many 'keystone species' are wide-ranging and sensitive to disturbance, requiring large and relatively unchanged habitats (Brown *et al.*, 2011).

There are evidences that ecosystem function can recover following the return of keystone species. Some of most known examples are related to the wolves recolonisation where a cascade effect in survival rates and population density for some herbivores, plants, and even in diversity and abundance of riparian birds was generated (Hebblewhite *et al.*, 2005; Beschta & Ripple, 2010).

Hilty *et al.* (2006) pointed out that a single species may also be used to justify the connection of protected areas in order to provide space for viable populations and potential increases in biodiversity. The wolf is a powerful and widely-recognised symbol of wilderness in the northern hemisphere. (Brown *et al.*, 2011).

The Iberian wolf is currently the largest terrestrial predator within the Portuguese fauna, and is also one of the most endangered species in our country. In Portugal it is nationally classified as Endangered (Cabral *et al.*, 2005). Although the European wolf population is a large metapopulation with several distinct fragments (LCIE, 2007), the Iberian wolf population is distributed mainly in the north of the Iberian Peninsula (Pimenta *et al.*, 2005).

Research Objectives

The work presented herein is part of a study of an alternative strategy for conservation developed over the concept of wilderness adapted to the European reality, subject to a long history of anthropogenic occupation. This strategy is based on information about the human influence on the territory, oriented to the identification of areas with less human disturbance for wildlife (Gomes *et al.*, 2011), since wild species do not usually tolerate well the human presence and are sensitive to environmental disturbances generated by human activities. Those less disturbed areas are potentially suited for protection of wildlife.

The project CVS - *Corridors for wildlife: spatial modeling of human pressure and its usefulness to the conservation of Iberian Wolf*, funded by the Portuguese national science foundation, intends to lead to the development of a methodology that aims to contribute to break the isolation between national protected areas, through the identification of corridors, as preferentially connecting paths allowing the migration of existing wild species.

To achieve this goal, an innovative approach was developed based on spatial modeling of anthropogenic influence in the territory that generates a gradient of the environmental disturbances derived from human presence and activities. This gradient constitutes the basis for identification of corridors between protected areas, following the areas with lower anthropogenic disturbance to the movement/progression of wildlife.

Subsequently, the idea is to validate this new strategy for identifying ecological corridors through the study of the location and movement of the Iberian wolf, an endangered species considered sensitive to human presence and activities.

METHODS AND RESULTS

Gradient of Human Disturbance for Wildlife

The concept of wilderness is associated with remote and natural areas which are far from human influence and where natural processes are primarily responsible for the landscape dynamics (Wild EUROPE, 2013). Inherent to the wilderness concept itself, it is difficult to set rigid limits to delineate a territory with such characteristics. However, it is possible to identify a set of characteristics that contribute to evaluate the wilderness quality, that are inversely related to the measure of the environmental disturbances caused by human activities.

In this project, the methodological processes for spatial modeling of environmental disturbances derived from human presence and activities are developed based on the research work "*Wilderness Areas for Wildlife Conservation*" (Gomes, 2005). It is considered that the spatial modeling of human influence relies on three major themes, regarded as

representative of the major environmental disturbances: human presence, habitat pollution, land use/land cover (Gomes *et al.*, 2011). These themes, that can be represented in a continuous way on the territory, contribute to create a gradient that may be interpreted as the difficulty of movement/progression for general wildlife (Figure 1).

Data and Model

The Human Presence factor constitutes one of the major threats to wildlife, so the resident population distribution was one of the proxy variables considered in the methodology for obtaining the gradient of environmental disturbances. It used resident population data from the 2011 Census for Portugal's mainland, to obtain human population density distribution.

The spatial distribution of industries which are under environmental license by Portuguese Law concerning integrated pollution prevention and control. Were considered as point sources of pollution, especially in terms of noise generation and release of chemicals into the soil and air. The aim was to simulate the intensity and extent of environmental disturbances to wildlife caused by these sources. Data were of 2012 obtained from the Portuguese Water Institute (INAG).

The linear infrastructures such as roads, railways and airports can produce significant environmental impacts. These infrastructures directly affect wildlife through trampling and cause environmental disturbances derived from noise and gas emissions. They also cause a barrier effect, disrupting directly the movement and use of territory by the species and disrupting the habitat continuity that results in the fragmentation of the landscape. Roads and railways geographical features were obtained from the Directorate-General for Territory Development (DGT).

The Portuguese Land Cover Map - COS (2007), also from DGT, was used to assign an evaluation to the land use/land cover classes according to the level of human perturbation generated within each type of class, regarding the direct disturbance to the movement and progression of wildlife species through the territory.

All cartographic variables that constitute the spatial data base were categorized in aggregated classes and converted into the raster format with a resolution of 100mX100m, which corresponds to a 1 hectare cell size. The main spatial analysis procedures for the calculation of environmental disturbances intensity and extent in Portugal's mainland territory, are focused in neighborhood analysis, reclassification and map algebra processes (Gomes *et al.*, 2013). Figure 2 shows the schematic overall methodological model for obtaining the gradient of environmental disturbances.

Expert Inquiry

Considering that the evaluation of the criteria that reflect environmental disturbances deriving from human activities varies with the different sensitivities of species and that for most cases it is not scientifically quantified, a multi-criteria expert system was developed to obtain a gradient of environmental disturbances, based in the consultation of a pool of experts with different backgrounds. The experts were selected based on their knowledge and experience in areas such as terrestrial wildlife, nature conservation, environmental impact assessment and

landscape planning, as well as knowledge in Portuguese land territory characteristics. The fact that these experts represent different interests and levels of knowledge about environmental impacts, ecology and wild species limitations, contributes to a greater range of responses leading to a gradient of human disturbances in the territory which tends to better reflect the reality for these species. It was intended that experts answer the various questions of the CVS (*Corridors for wildlife Project*) form (Gomes *et al.*, 2013) to assess the disturbance to wildlife on a scale from 1 to 10. They were also asked to indicate what they considered to be the maximum distance of environmental disturbances influence caused by roads and industries, as well as the minimum width of wildlife corridors.

There were obtained 51 responses through the CVS form. All experts have higher education and over 25% are PhDs. Experts were asked to answer the inquiry from a general wildlife perspective or from the viewpoint of a biological group (BG). There were 33% general answers and the other 67% were answers based on a specific BG.

Additional analysis was made to examine whether those experts should be divided into groups and if these groups had to do with the biological group on which they answered or with their academic background. In order to obtain one or more differentiated profiles of experts in the modeling of the environmental gradient, a correlation analysis was made, as well as principal component analysis (PCA) and hierarchical cluster analysis (HCA) on the distance matrix of the answers.

From the Kendall non-parametric correlation analysis between the experts answers, it was found that 95% showed a positive correlation and more than 31% of expert pairs were strongly correlated with each other (with a correlation value greater than 0.5), thus no contradictory trends among experts were observed. Analyzing the results of the PCAs and HCAs, there was no cluster trend either by biological group or by type of academic background, so the answers were grouped in a single profile for the spatial model.

As the values assigned in the expert responses did not show statistical normality in their values' distribution, it was calculated the median disturbance value from expert's answers for each question. The results are listed in Appendix 1. These median values of disturbance were used for the reclassification of the variables, on a raster basis, in the spatial modeling. It was, then, possible to obtain a single gradient of environmental disturbances representative of all opinions of the 51 experts, which serves as the basis for identifying the least disturbance corridors between important areas for conservation.

Designing Corridors

The Portuguese National Network of Protected Areas (RNAP) and the Portuguese Natura2000 Network (resulting from the application of two European Directives) are the two essential nature conservation planning instruments in Portugal. However, these protected areas originate a fragmented set of overlapping areas that are not connected (Figure 3).

To produce the corridors using the CVS methodology it was selected a pilot area involving three Portuguese classified areas for conservation - Gerês, Alvão and Montesinho. These three areas derive from the merge of the RNAP and the Natura2000 and are located in the North of Portugal (Figure 3).

A "suitability map" was produced as the inverse of the disturbance gradient previously obtained. We also avoid dam reservoirs with more than 100ha since they are a physical barrier

for a large number of species. Using CorridorDesigner toolbox v0.2 (Majka *et al.*, 2007) that operates over ArcGis, the cost surface between each pair of areas was calculated based on the "suitability map" and a set of progressively less permeable to progression corridors was obtained. The quality of each corridor was analyzed using Evaluation Tools, an extension of CorridorDesigner Tool, used to find the best central route within each corridor and to calculate a set of statistics that allowed us to evaluate corridor width, bottleneck constriction issues and, based on the previous data, the disturbance levels, main cover classes and major barriers.

The less disturbed corridors were selected, meaning the more permeable to wildlife progression corridors, with a transversal amplitude equal or larger than the median proposed by the experts at least in 90% of the corridor length (Beier *et al.*, 2007), 1000m in this case. Figure 3 and Table 1 present the proposed Wildlife Corridors (CVS) for the pilot area, between Gerês, Alvão and Montesinho.

Uncertainty analysis of the model, the analysis of constraints at a regional/local scale in the identification of Wildlife Corridors as well as the discussion of mitigation measures for the critical points identified within CVS are some future developments.

Corridors Versus Wolf Distribution

When spatially compared with Iberian wolf distribution (Pimenta *et al.*, 2005), the obtained corridors are very coincident with this species confirmed presence (Figure 4). This is a promising result considering that the wolf, as a keystone species, can be used as guide to the main corridor design between protected areas, and for future design refinements at a regional/local scale.

Future steps will involve more detailed wolf distribution information, identifying corridor areas with suitable habitats and habitats to avoid, and collecting information through monitoring the Iberian wolf within the proposed corridors, to identify packs locations, nesting sites or confirming pathways.

CONCLUSIONS

The legal mechanisms for conservation in Portugal derive from commitments under various international treaties, compliance with EU directives and specific national legislation. We are dealing with binding instruments, developed in accordance with the conservation strategy aimed at protecting species and habitats, associated to an active territorial management in order to reduce or eliminate threats affecting species persistence.

From a conservation point of view, the choice between betting on natural processes (rewilding) or on active management will depend on the objectives and on the local context (Navarro & Pereira, 2012). The active management tends to be the preferred option when the aim is to protect certain species or maintain priority habitats, while the passive management focuses on dynamic ecological processes that may be more sustainable at long term or more suitable for large areas of conservation. Moreover, rewilding can be seen as a less costly conservation management approach.

The improvement of connectivity between protected areas has been identified as a global priority for conservation (Brown *et al.*, 2011) because it is gaining relevance due to climate changes and loss of habitat generated by human pressures.

Abandoned lands between protected areas for conservation, as well as lands with less impact activities or regions with less human presence, are serious candidates to become areas of rewilding management. These lands may link areas with important natural values contributing to the creation of a network that can potentially favour the movements of species and landscape connectivity, preferentially designed through less disturbed areas.

This research work proposes a methodology that contributes to find best corridors for a network of land with potential suitability for rewilding based on a gradient of human disturbance. The spatial match of the obtained corridors with the Iberian wolf confirmed presence distribution within the pilot study area is a promising result for the model fitness to human pressure since it is a species known by its sensitivity to human presence and disturbance. Top predators are pointed as good keystone species to improve local diversity and ecosystem services recovery, by their documented umbrella effect (Brown *et al.*, 2011) so the creation of corridors adapted to wolf can potentially improve biodiversity resources indirectly.

More accurate results may be achieved when the analysis of constraints in the identification of the CVS corridors is concluded, as it will refine the corridors' design to wolf characteristics and limitations. The consideration of the mitigation measures for the critical points identified in the CVS corridors will contribute to a plausible implementation of this model in the field. The study of the temporal evolution of the environmental disturbance values is also another foreseen development aiming to improve the design quality of the obtained corridors. Further developments will deepen the analysis of the data on the wolf presence within the proposed corridors, involving not only direct observations but also scats confirmed with genetics, camera trapping photos and telemetry data, as well as wolf habitat modelling for predicting its occurrence.

Moreover the methodology will be applied to the remaining protected areas of the Portuguese mainland. The combination of the model results with the most relevant ecological habitat features distribution or particular target species suitability distribution at a regional/local scale level may convert it into a national level network of protected areas, which should be linked to the rest of the Iberian Peninsula.

The positive results already achieved in this work suggest that identifying wildlife corridors in areas with less human impact can be an additional perspective in the expeditious design of efficient corridors between protected areas, aiming to contribute to the biodiversity increase.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

This work is being developed under a research project funded by the Portuguese national funding agency for science, research and technology (FCT: PTDC/AAC-AMB/111457/2009). The authors acknowledge the helpful comments of Pedro Marrecas, João Herdeiro and Henrique Cabral, as well as the support of all the experts who responded to the CVS form, whose participation was crucial for obtaining the gradient of environmental disturbances to wildlife.

REFERENCES

Araújo, M. B., & Rahbek, C. 2006. How does climate change affect biodiversity? SCIENCE-NEW YORK THEN WASHINGTON, 313(5792), 1396.

Araújo, M.B., Alagador, D., Cabeza, M., Nogués Bravo, D. & Thuiller, W. 2011. Climate change threatens European conservation areas. Ecology Letters, 14: 484-492.

Araújo, M.B., Cabezas, M., Thuiller, W., Hannah, L., & Williams, P. 2004. Would climate change drive species out of reserves? An assessment of existing reserve-selection methods. Global Change Biology, 10, 1618-1626.

Barbosa A.M., Real R., Muñoz A.R. & Brown J.A. 2013. New measures for assessing model equilibrium and prediction mismatch in species distribution models. Diversity and Distributions, in press.

Beier, P., Majka, D.R., & Bayless, T. 2007. Linkage designs for Arizona's missing linkages. Arizona Game and Fish Department, Phoenix.

Bengtsson, J., Angelstam, P., & Elmqvist, T. 2003. Reserves, resilience and dynamic landscapes. Ambio, 32, 389-396.

Beschta, R. L., & Ripple, W. J. 2010. Recovering riparian plant communities with wolves in northern Yellowstone, USA. Restoration Ecology, 18(3), 380-389.

Brown, C., Mcmorran, R. & Price, M. 2011. Rewilding – A New Paradigm for Nature Conservation in Scotland? Scottish Geographical Journal, 127:4, 288-314.

Cabral, M.J. (coord.); J. Almeida, P.R. Almeida, T. Delliger, N. Ferrand de Almeida, M.E. Oliveira, J.M. Palmeirim, A.I. Queirós, L. Rogado, M. Santos-Reis (eds.). 2005. Livro Vermelho dos Vertebrados de Portugal. Instituto da Conservação da Natureza. Lisboa. 659p.

Diez, J. M., C. M. D' Antonio, J. S. Dukes, E. D. Grosholz, J.D. Olden, C. J. B. Sorte, D. M. Blumenthal, B. A. Bradley, R. Early, I. Iba´nez, S. J. Jones, J. J. Lawler & L. P. Miller. 2012. Will extreme climatic events facilitate biological invasions? Frontiers in Ecology and the Environment, 10(5): 249–257.

Garcia, R. & Araújo, M.B. 2010. Planejamento para a conservação em um clima em mudança. Natureza & Conservação, 8(1): 78-80.

Gomes, A.L. 2005. Áreas de Wilderness para a Conservação da Vida Selvagem. Dissertação para acesso à categoria de Investigadora Auxiliar, Instituto Geográfico Português.

Gomes, A.L., Nunes, L., & Fonseca, A. 2013. Sistema pericial para a modelação espacial das perturbações ambientais resultantes de actividades humanas. II Conferência de PRU, Conferência Internacional EUROPA 2020, 5-6 Julho, Aveiro.

Gomes, A.L.; Petrucci-Fonseca, F.; Costa, G.; & Fonseca, A. 2011. Corredores para a Vida Selvagem com Base na Modelação Espacial das Perturbações Ambientais e a Sua Utilidade para a Conservação do Lobo-Ibérico: Processos Metodológicos. 17º Congresso da APDR: 5º Congresso de Gestão e Conservação da Natureza, 29 Junho a 2 Julho, 2011, Bragança.

Hebblewhite, M., White, C.A., Nietvelt, C.G., McKenzie, J.A., Hurd, T.E., Fryxell, J.M., Bayley, S.E., & Paquet, P.C. 2005. Human activity mediates a trophic cascade caused by wolves. Ecology, 86, 2135-2144.

Hilty, J. A., Lidicker Jr, W. Z., & Merenlender, A. 2006. Corridor ecology: the science and practice of linking landscapes for biodiversity conservation. Island Press.

Kujala, H., Moilanen, A., Araújo M.B., & Cabeza, M. 2013. Conservation Planning with Uncertain Climate Change Projections. PLoS ONE 8(2): e53315. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0053315.

Lawler J., & Mathias, M. 2007. Climate Change and the Future of Biodiversity in Washington. Report prepared for the Washington Biodiversity Council.

LCIE 2007 - Large Carnivore Initiative for Europe. 2007. *Canis lupus*. In: IUCN 2013. IUCN Red List of Threatened Species. Version 2013.1. <www.iucnredlist.org>. Accessed 01-09-2013.

Majka, D., Jenness, J., & Beier, P. 2007. CorridorDesigner: ArcGIS tools for designing and evaluating corridors. Available at http://corridordesign.org.

Navarro, L. & Pereira, H. 2012. Rewilding Abandoned Landscapes in Europe. Ecosystems, 15: 900–912, DOI: 10.1007/s10021-012-9558-7.

Opdam, P., & Wascher, D. 2004. Climate change meets habitat fragmentation: linking landscape and biogeographical scale levels in research and conservation. Biological Conservation, 117, 285.

Parmesan, C. 2006. Ecological and evolutionary responses to recent climate change. Annual Review of Ecology, Evolution and Systematics, 37:637-669.

Pimenta, V.; Barroso, I.; Álvares, F.; Correia, J.; Ferrão da Costa, G.; Moreira, L.; Nascimento, J.; Petrucci-Fonseca, F.; Roque. S. & Santos, E. 2005. Situação populacional do Lobo em Portugal: resultados do Censo Nacional 2002/2003. Relatório Técnico. ICN/Grupo Lobo. Lisboa, 158 pp.

REAR - Rewilding Europe Annual Review 2012. Available at www.rewildingeurope.com. Accessed 04-09-2013.

Rudnick, D. A., Beier, P., Cushman, S., Dieffenbach, F., Epps, C. W., Gerber, L. R., Ryan, S. J., Hartter, J., Jenness, J. S., Kintsch, J., Merenlender, A. M., Perkl, R. M., Preziosi, D. V. & Trombulak, S. C. (2012). The role of landscape connectivity in planning and implementing conservation and restoration priorities. Issues Ecol.

Thuiller, W., Albert, C., Araújo, M.B., Berry, P., Cabeza, M., Guisan, A., Hickler, T., Midgley, G., Paterson, J., Schurr, F., Sykes, M., & Zimmermann, N. 2007. Predicting global change impacts on plant species' distributions: Future challenges. Perspectives in Plant Ecology, Evolution and Systematics, 8.

Wild EUROPE 2013. A Working Definition of European Wilderness and Wild Areas. Wild EUROPE. Update added 10/09/2013.

Williams, P., Hannah, L., Andelman, S., Midgley, G., Araújo, M., Hughes, G., Manne, L., Martinez-Meyer, E., & Pearson, R. 2005. Planning for Climate Change: Identifying Minimum-Dispersal Corridors for the Cape Proteaceae. Conservation Biology, 19, 1063-1074.

APPENDIX

Appendix 1 – Median values from the experts' answers (CVS form).

Pollution Impact	Noise	Chemical				
(point and linear sources of pollution)	Impact	Impact				
Industry (Classes)						
1: Landfill	4	5				
2: Waste Incineration	5	8				
3: Intensive livestock	5	6				
4: Slaughterhouses	4	5				
5: Food Processing	5	6				
6: Ceramic/Glass	5	6				
7: Cement	7	8				
8: Paper Pulp / Paper	6	8				
9: Textiles / Paints	6	8				
10: Refinery / Hydrocarbon Production	7	9				
11: Power Production	6	8				
12: Metals Production and Processing	7	8				
13: Chemicals / Fertilizers / Pharmaceuticals	6	8				
Road and Railway network						
1: Highway	7	6				
2: Main Roads	6	6				
3: National Roads	6	5				
4: Regional Roads	5	4				
5: Municipal Roads	3	3				
6: Single Railways	4	3				
7: Double Railways	5	3				

p	erts' answers (CVS form).	
	Human Presence Impact	
	(population density)	
	1: Less than 0,2 persons per hectare	2
	2: From 0,2 to 0,6 persons per hectare	3
	3: From 0,6 to 2 persons per hectare	5
	4: From 2 to 6 persons per hectare	8
	5: From 6 to 1000 persons per hectare	10

Maximum distance of influence from environmental disturbance (meters)		
Road and Railway Network	1 000	
Industry	1 250	

Minimum corridor width(meters)Minimum corridor width1 000

Land use/land cover Impact (CVS nomenclature)			
1: Urban	9	 Dense mixed forest (excluding eucalyptus and invasive spp.) 	1
2: Commercial units	8	24: Open mixed forest (excluding eucalyptus and invasive spp.)	1
3: Power production plants, capture and water treatment plants	7	25: Eucalyptus forest	3
4: Industries, ports, shipyards, airports	9	26: Mixed eucalyptus forest	3
5: Mineral extraction and dump sites	8	27: Mixed invasive spp forest	3
6: Green urban areas, historical areas, sport and leisure facilities	5	28: Moors, heathland and sclerophyllous vegetation	1
7: Greenhouses and nurseries	5	29: Cuts, new plantations	4
8: Complex cultivation patterns with scattered dwellings	3	30: Burnt areas	5
9: Annual crops associated with permanent crops (not irrigated)	2	31: Beaches, dunes and sands	2
10: Annual crops associated with permanent crops (irrigated)	4	32: Bare rocks and sparsely vegetated areas	2
11: Rice fields	4	33: Wetlands (excluding salines)	1
12: Vineyards, fruit and olive trees	3	34: Salines and aquaculture	4
13: Pastures and pastures associated with vineyards, fruit and olive trees	3	35: Water courses (natural and artificial)	1
14: Agriculture with significant areas of natural and semi-natural vegetation	2	36: Water bodies (natural and artificial)	3
15: Agro-forestry areas with annual crops (not irrigated)	2	37: Coastal lagoons and estuaries	2
16: Agro-forestry areas with annual crops (irrigated)	3	38: Highways	9

17: Agro-forestry areas with pastures	2	39: Main roads	8
18: Agro-forestry areas with vineyards, fruit and olive tre	es 2	40: National roads	6
19: Dense broad-leaved forest (excluding eucalyptus an invasive spp.)	d 1	41: Regional roads	5
20: Open broad-leaved forest (excluding eucalyptus and spp.)	l invasive 1	42: Municipal roads	4
21: Dense coniferous forest	2	43: Single railways	5
22: Open coniferous forest	2	44: Double railways	7

FIGURES, TABLES



Figure 1 – Factors that influence the gradient of environmental disturbances.



Figure 2 – Methodological model: environmental disturbances gradient.



Figure 3 - Proposed Wildlife Corridors (CVS) for the pilot area, between Gerês, Alvão and Montesinho.



Figure 4 – Iberian wolf distribution and proposed corridors.

Table 1 – Morphological characterization of Toposed Wildlife Comdors.					
Gerês-Alvão	Gerês-Montesinho	Alvão-Montesinho			
	(C) – Central route length; (Lmin) – Minimum width; (Lmax) - Maximum width; (Lmed) - Average width, (%Lim) – Percentage above the width considered as minimum by the experts: 1 000 m;				
Same Same	Source of the second se	Sau August			
C = 36 000m	C = 48 000m	C= 52 000 m			
Lmin = 1 900 m	Lmin = 365 m	Lmin=975 m			
Lmax = 7 677 m	Lmax = 4 745 m	Lmax=2 531 m			
Lmed = 4 820 m	Lmed = 2 569 m	Lmed=1 547 m			
%Lim = 100	%Lim = 97	%Lim= 99			

Table 1 – Morphological characterization of Proposed Wildlife Corridors.